Introduction

- Per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS): ubiquitous and persistent environmental contaminants and pressing hazard to human/environmental health.
- PFAS often bioaccumulate and bind to proteins, including Hb.
- PFAS mixture effects are not well understood, despite that mixture exposures are the reality for humans and wildlife.
- Pressing need for methods to predict the effects of PFAS singly and as mixtures: testing 14,00 PFAS/all possible mixtures impossible.
- Midge Chironomus dilutus is ideal model to test how PFAS/protein interactions might enable prediction of toxicity:
 - Midges are extremely sensitive to PFAS, possibly due to effects on oxygen transport
 - 95% of hemolymph is Hb protein



Objectives

- Determine if PFAS potency can be ranked based on their binding affinity to Hb and whether this interaction will be driven by chain length and functional group (i.e., structural characteristics).
- 2. Determine if PFAS mixtures act additively using *in vitro* assays.
- Validate models optimized by *in vitro* tests using *in vivo* toxicity tests.

Methods In Silico QSAR Modeling:



In Vitro Modeling via Two Complimentary Methods

Fluorescence Spectrophotometry:

- Shifts in fluorescence will be used to determine patterns in conformational change of oxygen-carrying heme due to Hb/PFAS binding.
- Equilibrium Dialysis:
- Equilibrium dialysis quantifies binding affinities of PFAS to Hb, expressed as disassociation constant (K_d).

In Vivo Toxicity Testing:

10-day Dose Response Assays with C. dilutus:

- Subset of PFAS/mixtures predicted to be most toxic via models selected for midge exposure studies.
- 6 doses per PFAS exposure: control, 3 doses below LC_{50} , 1 dose at
- LC_{50} 1 dose above LC_{50} (Fig. 1, Panel 3, for example with PFOS).
- Measure growth, survival, and Hb expression via quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) for two C. dilutus Hb isoforms.

king n + nd)			
xing ı + l)			
on of 0 ns			
icture ges in			
f PFA	S		
<u>s:</u>			

Can Hemoglobin (Hb) Binding Models be Used to Predict Toxicity of Mixtures of Forever Chemicals?

Cora Reynolds¹, Lail Shaw², Hallie Jackson¹, Nathan Mak³, Deise Cruz³, Jennifer McAdams³, Tyler Hoskins³, Youn Choi⁴, Supratik Kar⁵, Maria Sepúlveda³

> ¹Department of Biology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA ²Department of Health Sciences, Hendrix College, Conway, Arkansas, USA ³Department of Forestry & Natural Resources, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA ⁴Department of Agronomy, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA ⁵Department of Chemistry, Kean University, New Jersey, NJ, USA

Central Hypothesis: Binding of PFAS to Hb is a tractable and sensitive physiological signal that can be used to predict the toxicity of PFAS mixtures.

Approach:

- 1. Computational modeling uses 3D Hb & PFAS structures to simulate binding. Resulting changes in protein conformation/oxygen carrying capacity ranks predicted toxicities of **PFAS** and mixtures.
- 2. In vitro assays test model predictions. Used iteratively to revise computer models if predictions not accurate. If model predictions validated, proceed to *in vivo* testing.
- 3. Use *in vivo* dose response experiments with the midge, *Chironomus dilutus*, to provide final validation of model predictions in whole organisms.





Fig. 1. Conceptual diagram showing how the interplay among QSAR models, highthroughput *in vitro* assays, and *in vivo* toxicity tests will be leveraged to iteratively refine models to ultimately predict toxic effects of PFAS and mixtures for which experimental data are lacking.

Preliminary Results

Toxicity Ranking	PFAS	Chain Length	Table 1. Ranking ofpredicted toxicities for the11 DEAC with bigh act
1	Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	C9	potential to alter heme
2	Heptafluoropropoxy propanoic acid (Gen X)	C6	conformation based on initial QSAR models. Chain
3	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	C8	fluorinated carbons.
4	Perfluorodecanesulfonic acid (PFDS)	C10	
5	Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	C10	F F F F F F F F F
6	Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	C8	Fig. 4A. PFNA: 9-carbon
7	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS)	C5	perfluorcarboxylic acid F F $OF \downarrow$
8	Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA) Perfluorohexanesulfonic	C4	
9	acid (PFHxS)	C6	F F
10	Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	C6	Fig. 4B. GenX or HFPO-DA Hexafluoropropylene
11	acid (PFHpA)	C6	propanoic dimer acid





Next Steps

•In vitro testing in progress for top 5 most toxic PFAS based on models and all resultant binary mixtures. •Performed PFAS exposures in vivo for two PFAS that should be toxic based on models: PFOS and GenX; analysis is currently underway. •Will continue to refine models as new in vitro and in vivo data are generated.

Discussion & Implications

- discovered.
- of interest.

Acknowledgements

We thank Youn Choi for her work and guidance, Hallie Jackson for her benchwork, and we especially thank Nathan Mak, Andrew Todd, and Ty Hoskins for advice and leadership Another special thanks to Marisol Sepúlveda for being a great mentor. Thank you to Purdue University's Institute for a Sustainable Future and their Discovery Undergraduate Interdisciplinary Research Internship for their support and investment in young scientists. We also thank the USEPA for a STAR grant that is funding our work.

With over 14,000 PFAS in the environment, we need models that can predict toxicity of mixtures for which experimental data are absent: our project is one step toward this important goal.

Our approach provides a more efficient, cost-effective, and feasible alternative to experimentally testing PFAS mixtures as they are

Ultimately, we hope to create a user friendly, web dashboard with a simple graphical user interface, where users could input PFAS mixtures and use our trained and validated models to predict toxicities of mixtures

